ON THE STERIC COURSE OF THE ADDITION OF SOME ORGANOMETALLIC REAGENTS TO (RI-2,3-ISOPROPYLIDENE GLYCERALDEHYDE. SYNTHESIS OF OPTICALLY ACTIVE & -BENZYL-OXY ALDEHYDES, ALCOHOLS, CARBOXYLIC ACIDS AND 1,2-DIOLS.

## Johann Mulzer<sup>\*</sup> and Alfred Angermann

Institut fiir Organische Chemie der Universitat Diisseldorf, Universitatsstraße 1, D-4000 Düsseldorf 1, W-Germany

Summary. Organo-titanium and organo-zinc reagents were added stereoselectively to  $(R)$ -2,3-isopropylidene glyceraldehyde (1) to give the alcohols  $2/3$ , which were converted into the optically active derivatives  $4-11$ .

Several recent communications' prompt us to give a preliminary report on our related work concerning the steric course of organometallic additions to (R) isopropylidene glyceraldehyde  $(1)^2$ . The rationale behind this project was the fact that the 1,2-di-O-moiety occurs in a multitude of natural products, among which there are pheromones (e.g. brevicomine<sup>3</sup>), macrolides (e.g. colletodiol<sup>4</sup>), fungicides (e.g. canadensolide<sup>5</sup>), toxines (e.g. muscarine<sup>6</sup>) and all carbohydrates. So it seemed worth-while to look for a flexible connective method which allows the construction of this structural feature in a diaste**reo- and enantioselective manner<sup>7</sup>. Morecver, the degradation of 2/3 without** affecting the newly created asymmetric center promised an easy access to a number of useful chiral building blocks like  $7-10$ .

Specifically, we reacted 1 with a variety of organometallic agents (RM) and obtained the alcohols  $2/3$  in satisfactory yields (Table 1). To determine the diastereomeric ratio the distilled product mixture was benzylated (NaH in tetrahydrofurane (THF) and dimethylsulfoxide, 3h reflux, then 2 mole equivalents of benzyl chloride, 2h reflux, aqueous workup) to give  $4/5$  quantitatively. The 60 MHz  $1H$ -NMR spectrum of this mixture in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or benzene showed base line separated singlets or AB-systems for the benzyl  $CH_2$ -group, which were evaluated by machine integration (estimated accuracy ca. 5%). Gravity column chromatography (silicagel, ether-pentane 1:l) furnished isomerically pure  $4b, c, d$  and  $5a, b$ , respectively.



Run]	$\mathbb{R}$	M	$\frac{2}{3}$ , $\frac{3}{5}$	Ratio $2:2 (= 4:5)$	Addition Mode <sup>a</sup>	Yield $\frac{2+3}{2}$ ( 8 )	Solvent/Temp. (°C) / Time (h)
1	Ph	Li	a	48:52	AC	88	$Et_2O/-78/2$
2		MqBr	a	48:52	AC	85	
3	$\mathbf{u}$	$\frac{\text{Zn}}{\text{1/2}}$	a	79:21	$\mathbf{C}$	46	$Et_2O/-40/2$
4	$\mathbf{H}$	Ti(OiPr) $_3$	a	24:76	AC	82	$Et_2O/-78/2$
5	Ħ		a	9:91	AC	79	$THF / - 78 / 2$
6	Me	Li	b	60:40	$\mathbf{C}$	60	$Et_2$ 0/-70/2
7	n	MgBr	b	67:33	$\mathbf C$	57	$Et_2O/-50/2$
8	nBu	Li	$\mathbf C$	69:31	$\mathbf C$	83	$Et_2O/-78/2$
9	$\mathbf{u}$	MqBr	$\mathbf C$	75:25	C	86	
10	$\mathbf{H}$	Ti(OiPr),	$\mathbf C$	90:10	$\mathbf C$	40	$Et_2O/+22/12$
11	ally1	MqBr	d	60:40	$\mathsf{C}$	89	$Et_2O/-78/2$
12		Ti(OiPr) $_3$	d	71:29	$\mathsf{C}$	72	$THF/-100/2$
13	Ħ	$\operatorname{cr}_{1/2}^{\hspace{0.1cm}\text{b}}$	d	70:30	$\mathbf C$	56	$THF/+25/2$
14	Ħ	$\frac{\text{Zn}}{\text{1/2}}$	d	84:16	$\mathsf{C}$	60	$Et_2O/-78/2$
15	Ħ		d	91:9	$\mathbf C$	65	$THF / - 78 / 2$
							$a$ C= Cram, AC= Anti-Cram. $b$ prepared according to T.Hyiama, Y.Okude, K.Kimura,

Table 1. Stereochemistry and Yields for Various RM-Additions to 1.

H.Nozaki,Bull.Chem.Soc.Japan 1982,55,561.

According to the current definition  $8\,$  2 is the Cram and 3 the anti-Cram adduct. Table 1 shows that the ratio of  $2:3$  is highly dependent on RM. Low to moderate selectivity is observed for the organolithium and Grignard reagents (runs 1,2,6,7,8,9,11). For M=  $2n_{1/2}$  and Ti(OiPr)<sub>3</sub><sup>9</sup> the diastereomeric excesses are considerably higher (runs  $3,4,5,10,14,15$ ). Apart from PhTi(OiPr)<sub>3</sub> which favors the anti-Cram attack all other reagents prefer the Cram process if a stereoselective addition does occur at all. The solvent has a minor but significant effect; using THF instead of ether enhances the intrinsic selectivity of the reaction (runs 4 vs. 5, 14 vs. 15). In view of the familiar<sup>10</sup> chelate controlled anti-Cram additions to  $\alpha$ -alkoxy carbonyl compounds the Cram preference observed for 1 is rather unexpected.<sup>11</sup> It may be attributed, as well as in related cases<sup>1a,12</sup>, to the presence of the (additional) B-alkoxy function which allows such an efficient complexation of M in the Cram-arrangement A that the system has nothing to gain from the anti-Cram chelate B which is so characteristic of the  $\infty$ -alkoxy carbonyl additions.<sup>10</sup> By routine operations we converted  $4b, c, d$  and  $5a, b$  into the derivatives  $6-11$ ,



all of which were obtained in high yield and optical purity (Scheme 1). (R)- $2 \text{g}$ , (S)- $8 \text{h}$ , (R)- $10 \text{h}$  and (S)- $10 \text{h}$  are known<sup>13</sup> and allowed us to assign the configurations at the newly created chiral centers and, hence, to decide upon the Cram or anti-Cram direction of the organometallic additions.



<u>a</u>. 2N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, dioxane, 110°C, 2h. - <u>b</u>. Pb(OAc)<sub>4</sub>, benzene, 22°C, 10 min. - c. LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, ether, 22°C, 3h.- d. H<sub>2</sub>-Pd, methanol + 1% HCl, 22°C, 2 atm.- e. CrO<sub>3</sub>-acetone-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,  $0^{\circ}$ C. 10 min.

Yields (based on  $\frac{4}{5}$ ) and  $\alpha \frac{25}{n}$  (in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, unless specified otherwise) for  $\frac{4-11}{n}$ :  $4b: 40.5(c3.5); \frac{4}{5}c: 14.4(c3.5); \frac{4}{5}c: 60.9(c2); \frac{5}{5}c: -83.4(c2); \frac{5}{5}c: 14.1(c5.5);$  $\frac{6}{2}$ : 79%, 38.3(c2);  $\frac{6}{2}$ : 96%, 10.7(c2); (R)- $\frac{7}{2}$ : 73%, -67.3(c4); (R)- $\frac{7}{2}$ : 65%, 53.5(c2.5); (S)- $\frac{7b}{2}$ : 63%, -54.7(c2.5); (S)- $\frac{7c}{2}$ : 85%, -66.0(c2); (S)- $\frac{7d}{2}$ : 72%,  $-46.4(c2.5)$ ; (R)  $-8a$ : 70%, -53.9(c1.5); (R)  $-8a$ : 47%, -42.0(c3); (S)  $-8b$ : 51%, 43.1(c3); (S)- $g_{\text{g}}$ : 60%, 16.9(c1.7); (S)- $g_{\text{d}}$ : 51%, -33.0(c2); (S)- $g_{\text{g}}$ : 52%, -15.1 (c14,EtOH); (S)- $9/2$  (R=n-propyl): 45%, -16.1(c3,EtOH); (R)- $19/2$ : 40%, -117(c2); (S)- $10\frac{1}{2}$ : 45%, -70.8(c9.5, benzene);  $11\frac{1}{2}$ : 92%, -89.4(c5);  $11\frac{1}{2}$ : 84%, -48.4(c2).

With regard to our initial objective, the allyl derivatives 2d/4d, which may be prepared with high diastereoselectivity (91:9, Table 1, run 15) in multigram quantities, are the most promising intermediates. In 4d, the functional



groups on either side of the central 1,2-di-O-unit may be used for further elaboration. For example,  $4d$  may be converted into 12, which undergoes nucleophilic ring openings of various kinds regioselectively at the terminal position. On the other hand,  $4d$  may be ozonized to give  $12$ , whose aldehyde group may be used for chain elongations and/or functionalization of the  $\ll$  -CH<sub>2</sub>-position via enolate chemistry. By starting the whole sequence with  $(S) - 1$   $(3)$  $4d$  is also available in the enantiomeric form. Furthermore,  $2d$  may be transformed into  $\frac{3d}{2}$  by the Mitsunobu inversion<sup>15</sup> thus providing an entry into the threo series as well. So, in conclusion,  $2d/4d$  have to be considered as convenient precursors to a variety of compounds having a 1,2-diol moiety in any one of the four possible configurations. Experiments along these lines are under way in our laboratory directed towards the synthesis of some of the natural products mentioned in the introduction.

Acknowledgement. Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

## References and Notes

la) G.Fronza,C.Fuganti,P.Grasselli,G.Fantoni,C.Zirotti,Tetrahedron Lett.1982, 23,4143. b) M.Asami,T.Mukaiyama,Chem.Lett.l983,93. c) I.Hoppe,U.Schbllkopf,  $\overline{\text{Liebig}}$  Ann.Chem.1983,372.-- 2) For additions of 1 and vinylmagnesium chloride see D.J.Walton,Can.J.Chem.1967,45,2921;  $1$  + a $\overline{1}$ lylmagnesium bromide: L. <code>Hough,Chem.Ind.(London)<u>1951</u>,406.  $\underline{1}$  + acetyli $\overline{\textbf{d}}$ e: D.Horton,J.B.Hughes,J.K.Thom $\cdot$ </code> son,J.Org.Chem.1968,<u>33,728.-- 3</u>) Review: R.Rossi,Synthesis <u>1978</u>,413.--4) J.MacMillan, T.J.Simpson, J.Chem.Soc.Perkin I 1973, 1487. -- 5) R.C.Anderson, B.Fraser-Reid,Tetrahedron Lett.1978,3233.-- 6) R.Amoroux,B.Gerin,M.Chastrette, Tetrahedron Lett.1982,<u>23</u>,4341.-- 7) Tartaric acid has been used frequently for this objective; for a review see D.Seebach, E.Hungerbühler in Synthetic Methods, Vol.1 (R.Scheffold,ed.),Salle-Sauerländer,Frankfurt,1980.--8) M.N.Paddon-Row, N.Rondan, K.N.Houk, J.Am.Chem.Soc.1982, 104, 7162.-- 9) B.Weidmann,D.Seebach,Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Eng.1983,22,31; M.T.Reetz,R.Steinbach,J. Westermann,R.Peter,ibid.l980,~,1011.-- IO) W.C.Still,J.H.McDonald III,Tetrahedron Lett.1980,21,1031.--  $1\overline{11}$  For enolate additions to 1 Cram selectivity is observed: C.H. Heathcock, S.D. Young, M.C. Pirrung, J.P. Hagen, C.T. White, D.J. van Derveer,J.Org.Chem.1980,45,3846.-- 12)T.Mukaiyama,T.Yamada,K.Suzuki,Chem.Lett. 1983,5.-- 13) Optical rotations ( $[\mathbf{K}]_D^{2,3}$ ) : (S)-8b: 39.8(c3,CHCl<sub>3</sub>),K.Mislow,  $R.E.O'Brien, H.Schaeffer, J.Am.Chem.SO&1962,84,1940. (R)-9c: 15.2(c14,EtOH) P.$ A.Levene, H.L.Haller, J.Biol.Chem.1928,  $\overline{19}$ , 475. (R)-9d (for R= n-propyl): 16.2(c14,EtOH),P.A.Levene,H.L.Haller,ibid.1928, 77, 555. (R)-10a: -119(c2,CHCl<sub>3</sub>) W.A.Bonner,J.Am.Chem.Soc.1952,74,3131. (S)-10b:  $-62.5$ (c10,benzene),P.Levue,  $J.Biol.Chem.1936, 113, 153. 14)$   $\overline{M.E.Jung.T.J.Shaw.J.Am.Chem.Soc.1980, 102, 6304. --$ 15) O.Mitsunobu,J.Kimura,K.Iizumi,N.Yanagida,Bull.Chem.Soc.Jpn.1976,49,510.

(Received in Germany 19 April 1983)